



**Brighton & Hove
City Council**

PLANNING COMMITTEE ADDENDUM

2.00PM, WEDNESDAY, 29 AUGUST 2012

COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

ADDENDUM

ITEM		Page
57.	TO CONSIDER AND DETERMINE PLANNING APPLICATIONS	1 - 2

29th August 2012 Planning Committee – Late List

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Update
19 & 31	Brighton Dome Church Street	BH2012/01634 and 01635	<p>Amended plans ref. NE-005 (page 13) and NE-005 (page 14) received 15/08/2012, to supersede plans ref. NE-005 (page 13) and NE-005 (page 14) received 19/06/2012.</p> <p>Officer response: The amended plans correct an error in the plans originally submitted. The plans confirm that three light fixtures are proposed below the Pavilion Theatre / 'Brighton Dome Studio' proposed signage.</p> <p>A letter has been received from no. 7 Stanford Avenue, Hassocks, <u>objecting</u> to the application for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed banners and lettering, and the colour black, are inappropriate and would harm the character of the listed buildings. • The proposed signage would be visually imposing and would result in street clutter to the detriment of the visual amenity of the area. • The proposed signage is contrary to policy QD12 of the Brighton and Hove Local Plan. <p>Three (3) letters have been received from nos. 21 and 22 New Road Brighton, and no. 35 Denmark Villas Hove, <u>supporting</u> the application for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed signage is attractive and will improve the area. • The proposed signage will make it clear to visitors as to where they are going. • The colours proposed will work well with the exterior stonework without detracting from it. • Existing signage does not sufficiently clarify the identity and function each building, the proposed signage will resolve this issue and help to ensure the long term use and sustainability of the buildings. <p>Officer response: No new material considerations are raised</p> <p>A letter in <u>support</u> of the application has been submitted by Mike Weatherley MP</p> <p>A comment has been received from Cllr Ollie Sykes <u>supporting</u> the application.</p> <p>Officer response: No new material considerations are raised.</p>
75	10 Upper Market Street	BH2012/01764	<p>The Council's Sustainability Officer has commented Level 4 for the Code for Sustainable Homes is below the standard expected for a greenfield site. However, this lower level has been justified on the grounds that the design was modified from a more modern design following Council guidance. This has resulted in a design whose finishes and materials mitigate against achieving a higher code level and wall</p>
101	19 Onslow Road Hove (Land to rear of 57 Shirley Drive Hove)	BH2012/01609	

137	Adj 2 Longhill Road	BH2012/01652	thickness. This is considered sufficient reason to accept Code Level 4.
<p>31 Ainsworth Avenue <u>objects</u> to the proposal on the grounds that the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal is too large and overpowering and would dominate the surrounding area, • Proposal would harm the adjacent nature reserve, • The siting of the proposal on a tight bend would likely result in increased danger to road users. <p>Officer response: No new material considerations are raised.</p>			

NB. Representations received after midday the Friday before the date of the Committee meeting will not be reported (Sub-Committee resolution of 23 February 2005).